Proliferation of Novel Synthetic Opioids in Postmortem Investigations After Core-Structure Scheduling for Fentanyl-Related Substances

Donna M. Papsun, MS, D-ABFT-FT,* Alex J. Krotulski, PhD,† and Barry K. Logan, PhD, F-ABFT*†

Abstract: New generations of novel synthetic opioids (NSOs) have emerged to fill a void in the illicit drug markets left by the decline in popularity of fentanyl analogs subsequent to core-structure scheduling of fentanyl-related substances in the United States and China. These new opioids include members of the 2-benzyl benzimidazole (eg, isotonitazene, metonitazene, N-pyrrolidino etonitazene, protonitazene, etodesnitazene), benzimidazolone (eg, brorphine), and cinnamylpiperazine (eg, AP-238, 2-methyl AP-237) subclasses. Novel synthetic opioids continue to be detected in opioid-related fatal overdoses, demonstrating the harms associated with exposure to these drugs. Between January 2020 and December 2021, 384 casework blood samples were reported by our laboratory to contain 1 or more of the prior listed 8 NSOs. Isotonitazene ($n = 144$), metonitazene $(n = 122)$, and brorphine $(n = 91)$ were the 3 most prevalent substances, with positivity for isotonitazene and brorphine peaking just before the announcement of emergency scheduling. These NSOs have been documented as significant drivers of drug mortality, and this case series described here highlights the challenges medical examiners and coroners face in staying current with emerging drugs. Challenges include regional differences, rapid turnover, short lifecycles, variable toxicology testing, and difficulty in assessing individual drug toxicity in polydrug cases.

Key Words: opioids, postmortem, toxicology, NSO, NPS, forensic

(Am J Forensic Med Pathol 2022;43: 315–327)

Novel synthetic opioids (NSOs) have intensified the on-going opioid crisis since the appearance of illicit fentanyl in the United States (US) heroin supply in 2008.^{1–16} The US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention estimate that there will be more than 100,000 opioid deaths in $2021¹⁷$ Illicit drug manufacturers have continued to introduce a variety of novel opioid agonist structural classes with varying potencies, which have been contributory and/or causal agents in nonfatal and fatal overdoses.¹⁸⁻³⁸ Between 2015 and 2018, most NSO-classified novel psychoactive substances (NPSs) infiltrating the illicit drug market were fentanyl analogs, such as 2-furanylfentanyl, carfentanil, acrylfentanyl,
methoxyacetylfentanyl, and cyclopropylfentanyl.^{39–60} Nonfentanyl NSOs, such as $MT-45$, $AH-7921$, and U-47700, also appeared
NSOs, such as $MT-45$, $AH-7921$, and $U-47700$, also appeared during that time but in comparatively smaller numbers.⁴

Over the last 10 years, the market for NSOs has further diversified. Between 2009 and 2019, the number of NPS drugs with opioid effects reported to the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime Early Warning Advisory rose from 1 to 55.68

In response to the rise in NSOs and the growing opioid crisis, a series of domestic and international controls have been implemented. In 2018, the 61st Session of the United Nations Commission on Narcotic Drugs adopted a resolution to enhance and strengthen international and regional cooperation to address threats posed by nonmedical use of synthetic opioids.⁶⁹ The same year, the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime launched a plan for a coordinated international response among its member states toward the opioid crisis. Between 2018 and 2020, the United Nations Commission on Narcotic Drugs scheduled 12 individual fentanyl analogs under the 1961 Convention.⁶⁸ As a different approach after similar individual scheduling actions, the US Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) imposed a temporary classwide scheduling ban on fentanyl-related substances in February 2018—a novel policy approach.⁷⁰ This action focused on the core structure of fentanyl and listed covered chemical modifications for a broader measure of scheduling compared with listing individual compounds; this measure effectively banned a large swath of fentanyl analogs. This has been extended several times, but it scheduled to expire in 2022.71 In 2019, China then followed suit with similar action, in addition to a ban on fentanyl precursors N-phenethyl-4-piperidinone and 4-anilino-N-phenethylpiperidine.⁷² On the whole, these international policy changes resulted in a marked decline in fentanyl analogs beginning in mid to late 2018, although fentanyl itself continues to be the major player among opioid-involved deaths.⁷³

Although these core structure scheduling actions may have curbed the spread of fentanyl analogs, a subsequent shift toward novel and varied chemical classes of drugs with opioid activity has resulted. Today, most NSOs are seemingly pirated from pharmaceutical patents, literature, and research.⁷⁴ These include substances of the 2-benzyl benzimidazole, benzimidazolone, and cinnamylpiperazine subclasses.⁶⁸ In addition, there have been a number of reports of additional substances with opioid effects, including piperidylthiambutene, 2-fluoro viminol, and diphenpipenol.⁷

The 2-benzyl benzimidazole subclass (or nitazenes) is a group of drugs originally investigated for therapeutic analgesic properties in the 1950s. Etonitazene was the prototypical substance that was subsequently internationally controlled because of its high potency compared with other opioids, such as fentanyl.78–⁸⁰ It was suggested in 2012 that nitazene analogs could be misused leading to harm due to the abuse liability of etonitazene.⁸¹ This prediction proved true in 2019 when isotonitazene, first developed at the same time as etonitazene and with potency and efficacy comparable with or greater than that of fentanyl, emerged on the illicit opioid market. $82-87$ Isotonitazene was quickly linked to fatal overdoses and was subsequently emergency scheduled by the DEA in August 2020.^{83,84,88–92} Additional nitazene analogs have followed suit and infiltrated the NSO supply to varying degrees; these include metonitazene, N-pyrrolidino etonitazene (also

Am J Forensic Med Pathol • Volume 43, Number 4, December 2022 www.amjforensicmedicine.com | 315

Manuscript received March 22, 2022; accepted June 25, 2022.

From the *NMS Labs, Toxicology Department, Horsham; and †Center for Forensic Science Research and Education at the Fredric Rieders Family Foundation, Willow, Grove, PA.

The authors report no conflict of interest. Reprints: Donna M. Papsun, MS, D-ABFT-FT, NMS Labs, Horsham,

Pennsylvania UNITED STATES. E-mail: [Donna.Papsun@nmslabs.com.](mailto:Donna.Papsun@nmslabs.com) The work conducted at CFSRE was funded by the National Institute of Justice,

Office of Justice Programs, US Department of Justice (award number 2020- DQ-BX-0007, "Real-Time Sample-Mining and Data-Mining Approaches for the Discovery of Novel Psychoactive Substances (NPS)"). The opinions, findings, conclusions, and/or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect those of the Department of Justice.

Copyright © 2022 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved. ISSN: 0195-7910/22/4304–0315

DOI: 10.1097/PAF.0000000000000787

referred to as etonitazepyne), etodesnitazene, protonitazene, and metodesnitazene.93–¹⁰⁰ Of these additional nitazene analogs, most are reported to exhibit analgesic potency in animal models greater than fentanyl, with N-pyrrolidino etonitazene being the most potent, followed by protonitazene and metonitazene; etodesnitazene and metodesnitazene are reported to be less potent than fentanyl.101 In December 2021, metonitazene was the 2-benzyl benzimidazole with the highest prevalence in toxicological casework.

Brorphine, which was first reported in Europe in early 2020, quickly became the newest replacement on the US NSO market following DEA scheduling of isotonitazene and its subsequent rapid decline in positivity.^{102,103} Brorphine is a substituted piperidine-based benzimidazolone, retaining some structural similarity to fentanyl; however, it falls outside the scope of the DEA's core structure scheduling of fentanyl-related substances. This NSO subclass was first developed as central nervous system depressants with morphine-like analgesic activity.¹⁰⁴ In vitro studies demonstrated that brorphine is a full μ opioid receptor agonist with a higher potency compared with morphine.^{103,105} After detection and reporting of brorphine in postmortem cases in the US in 2020, the DEA again moved with intent to schedule the new NSO in December 2020, taking effect in March 2021.^{102,106-108} Not long after this notice, positivity for brorphine in postmortem casework began to fall rapidly, and metonitazene emerged as the newest replacement. Metonitazene popularity generally increased throughout 2021, resulting in another shift that drug surveillance stakeholders have to account for.^{109,110} N-pyrrolidino etonitazene also emerged and gained popularity in late 2021, therefore broadening the diversity of the nitazene subclass detected in postmortem casework.¹¹⁰

In addition to 2-benzyl benzimidazole and benzimidazolone, 2 additional drugs of the cinnamylpiperazine subclass have emerged on the illicit drug market. 2-Methyl AP-237 and AP-238 are structurally related to AP-237 (also known as bucinnazine), the prototypical opioid of this subclass. AP-237 was originally approved in China in the 1960s for pain management in cancer pa-
tients, whereas 2-methyl AP-237 was patented in the 1980s.^{111–113} 2-Methyl AP-237 is estimated to have 68 to 156 times less analgesic potency than fentanyl (ie, equivalent to, or less potent that morphine), which may account for a lack of popularity in the drug market thus far.¹¹⁴ Pharmacological data for AP-238 demonstrated that is more potent than 2-methyl AP-237 yet approximately 11 times less potent compared with fentanyl.¹¹⁵

Emerging NSOs pose significant risks to public health and safety due to varying potencies (often greater than fentanyl), ease of infiltration into the recreational opioid supply, and increasing reports of adverse events and harm. Like traditional opioid agonists (eg, fentanyl), the use of NSOs can result in sedation, euphoria, and respiratory depression that can progress to coma and death. The infiltration of NSOs into the drug supply often occurs in one of 3 manners: (1) as a standalone drug product purchased from a gray market, often online vendor (eg, white powder containing only 2-methyl AP-237), (2) as a full substitution of an opioid drug supply with the NSO (eg, isotonitazene sold as "heroin" but the powder is absent other opioids), or (3) as a mixture with fentanyl or heroin distributed in the broader supply (eg, brorphine present with fentanyl in the "heroin" supply).68,86,116 When NSOs are mixed with or substituted for routinely encountered opioid, such as fentanyl and/or heroin, users are likely to be ingesting these substances, which can lead to increased likelihood of adverse reactions due to unknown potency of the actual drug administered. The circumstances and factors involving an NSO-related adverse event or death are different and must be treated as such, reinforcing the importance of thorough scene investigation and communication between drug chemists, toxicologists, and forensic pathologists.

METHODS

Broad-spectrum toxicology testing of samples from medicolegal death investigations and driving under the influence (DUI) investigations was conducted via a comprehensive drug screening protocol with analysis by high-resolution mass spectrometry. Biological samples (eg, blood) were analyzed by liquid chromatography time-of-flight mass spectrometry (LC-TOF-MS) using an Agilent Technologies 6230 LC-TOF-MS (Santa Clara, CA). This assay was fully validated to detect more than 325 recreational, therapeutic, and emerging synthetic drugs, as well as a subpanel dedicated to NPS, as discussed in previous work.⁹⁰ Between 2019 and 2021, isotonitazene, brorphine, metonitazene, Npyrrolidino etonitazene, protonitazene, etodesnitazene, 2-methyl AP-237, and AP-238 (structures shown in Fig. 1) were identified by reprocessing the TOF data files against a secondary library.

Data processing for emerging NSOs was performed using a targeted approach through Agilent MassHunter Qualitative Analysis Workflows (B.08.00) and an in-house NPS library database. The library consisted of analyte name, formula, exact protonated mass, and retention time; these characteristics are indicated in Table 1. Presumptive identifications were recorded for data files that met lenient criteria for mass error (<20 ppm) and retention time error (<0.35 minutes) to account for retention drift due to the use of 4 different instruments of the same make/model running the same method. Processing results were filtered based on software scoring to assist with identifications. Peak area response was reviewed for appropriate chromatographic characteristics and compared against a set of peak intensity thresholds from a previously analyzed cutoff calibrator. Peak intensity in the sample was required to meet or exceed the intensity of this threshold to recommend confirmation testing by a toxicologist. The cutoff calibrator was selected to represent a concentration that could be analytically confirmed.

All positive findings were reviewed by a toxicologist and approved for subsequent quantitative confirmatory analysis. Because of the low number of samples included in the survey, quantitative confirmations were conducted using a standard addition approach for the quantitation of NPS in biological matrices due to their rapid emergence and decreased time for development of fully validated assays.^{117–120} Cases that were screened in other laboratories were analyzed directly by the standard addition method without the high-resolution mass spectrometry screen.

For quantitation, samples were prepared using a 3-point standard addition protocol that has previously been described.¹¹⁸ Four aliquots (0.2) mL of each unknown sample were prepared: 1 aliquot deemed the "blank" was unfortified and the 3 remaining aliquots per case were fortified at increasing concentrations of target analyte. Internal standard was added to all samples. Samples were prepared using a liquid-liquid extraction (LLE). Instrumental analysis was performed by liquid chromatography triple quadrupole tandem mass spectrometry (LC-QQQ-MS) on a Waters Xevo TQ-XS coupled to a Waters Acquity UPLC (Milford, Mass). The concentration of NSO in the sample was determined through back calculation of the x-intercept using a linear fit between up-spike concentration and resulting analyte-internal standard peak area ratio calculated in Microsoft Excel (Richmond, Wash). Acceptance criteria for the standard addition required a correlation coefficient of $R^2 > 0.98$, as well as criteria typically required for confirmation and identification (eg, correct retention time, within ion ratio limits, etc).

RESULTS

In total, 384 blood samples were submitted and confirmed for the presence of 1 or more specified NSOs. Cases were reported

FIGURE 1. Chemical structures of novel synthetic opioids.

between January 2020 and December 2021 (collection dates for 7 studied specimens were before 2020); cases reported herein complement previously reported case series by the authors.^{90,107,109,115,121} Table 2 shows the number of detections for each NSO, as well as mean, median, and range of quantitative results.

Of the 384 blood samples, 334 (87%) were analyzed using the broad scope LC-TOF/MS postmortem protocol described previously, as opposed to directed testing by LC-QQQ-MS for only the analyte of interest. Of the 334 cases containing full drug screening, 224 blood samples (67%) also contained fentanyl. Fentanyl blood concentrations in these polydrug cases ranged from 0.28 to 400 ng/mL, with mean and median concentrations of 21 and 11 ng/mL, respectively. Opiates and/or opioids (including fentanyl) were reported in 255 cases (76%) where an NSO was present. Methamphetamine and/or amphetamine, cocaine and/or benzoylecgonine (BZE), and cannabinoids were identified in 33%, 20%, and 29% of the cases, respectively, further underscoring the complex polydrug nature of these NSO death investigations. Designer benzodiazepines were also routinely encountered with NSOs, including etizolam, clonazolam and its metabolite 8-aminoclonazolam, bromazolam, phenazepam, and

flualprazolam. Interestingly, flualprazolam was reported in 49% $(n = 71)$ of isotonitazene cases. This could be attributed to peak positivity of flualprazolam coinciding with peak positivity of isotonitazene; however, there is also a report confirming the 2 drugs present together (a phenomenon increasingly called "benzo dope") in a powder material associated with a death investigation from the Midwest.⁹⁰

Select cases are further detailed based on the NSO being a primary driver of toxicity and in some cases being reported as the cause of death. Table 3 contains demographics, case history, and additional toxicological findings. These 20 cases include both individuals who presented to the hospital after suspected overdoses who ultimately were unable to be resuscitated as well as decedents who were found unresponsive. Drug paraphernalia was commonly found, including descriptions of white powders and unknown pills. Autopsy findings, when available, commonly listed edematous organs. Cardiovascular disease was also noted in a number of individuals, which may limit an individual's physiological reserve and potentially putting them at more risk for the toxic effects of opioids.¹²²

TABLE 2. Concentrations (in Nanograms per Milliliter) of Novel Synthetic Opioids Reported in Postmortem Investigations

TABLE 3. Selected Case Histories With Limited Additional Findings

Continued next page

Downloaded from http://journals.lww.com/amjforensicmedicine by g3NG442gFkv/qRmORjVyH5IAEEnS8vbX1onRt dSEmuxgkZFSyB4soTUJDHt/EHLxC7mMg7yJ1fbmKnUR/lJi9g87Gt+TRT5POodX8zLOgVf7L+C3HC1bVhPQEo1QJkdG on 08/29 Downloaded from http://gurnals.ww.com/amjforensicmedisine by g3N2442gFkv/qRmSRVbXHSIAEEnS8vbX1onRt
dSEmuxgkZFSyB4s0TUJDHVEHLxC7rnMg7yJ1fbmKnURJU9g87Gt+TRT5POodX8zLOgVr7L+C3HC1bVnPQEo1QJkdo on 08/29
dSEmuxgkZFSyB4s0TUJDHVEH

Continued next page

Continued next page

Continued next page

Isotonitazene

Isotonitazene was quantitatively confirmed in 144 blood samples (43%) collected from medicolegal death investigations and 2 DUI investigations involving NSOs during this study period. Although quantitative testing capabilities were not available until January 2020 from the reporting laboratory, the earliest collection date for a postmortem blood sample was April 2019. In total, 7 blood samples from cases collected in 2019 were confirmed to contain isotonitazene after the availability of quantitative testing by the laboratory. In 2 postmortem cases in which no other additional findings were detected in toxicology, isotonitazene was reported at concentrations of 0.68 and 3.2 ng/mL in blood.

One hundred seventeen cases (87%) were collected or submitted before June 2020, the month in which the DEA announced intent to schedule isotonitazene (final ruling occurred in August 2020 .⁹² After this scheduling action, detections of isotonitazene dropped off significantly (Fig. 2), although cases continue to be sporadically reported. In total, the postmortem cases were received from 22 states. Wisconsin accounted for the highest number of isotonitazene confirmations ($n = 28$), followed by Illinois $(n = 24)$, Florida $(n = 16)$, Tennessee $(n = 11)$, and Minnesota $(n = 10)$. In addition to the US, the Canadian provinces of Quebec, Ontario, and Newfoundland also accounted for cases involving isotonitazene.

Brorphine

Brorphine was first reported by our laboratory in August 2020, shortly after the scheduling of isotonitazene and after the

laboratory expanded its scope of testing to include brorphine. The earliest collected and submitted specimens were from July 2020. Cases involving brorphine peaked in September 2020 and eventually dropped to less than 5 per month by February 2021. Notice of intent to schedule brorphine was issued in December 2020.106 In total, 93 blood samples have been reported to contain brorphine thus far; 61% of which were reported by December 2020. Only 16 cases were collected and reported after February 2021. In one postmortem blood sample where only benzoylecgonine was reported, brorphine was reported at 0.56 ng/mL. Brorphine was reported at 1.1 and 12 ng/mL in additional postmortem blood cases where only ethanol was additionally reported.

Brorphine has been reported in death investigation cases from both the US and Canada (British Columbia), as well as 2 DUI investigations. Wisconsin and Illinois accounted for 22 and 21 cases each, followed by Minnesota ($n = 17$), Georgia ($n = 7$), and West Virginia ($n = 5$). Twelve additional states report less than 5 cases each, spanning different parts of the country and included Tennessee, Florida, Colorado, Nevada, and New York.

Metonitazene

Metonitazene appeared next after a drop in brorphine positivity subsequent to DEA's notice of intent to schedule brorphine in December 2020. Metonitazene was first reported by our laboratory in April 2021 after the development of a quantitative testing method. The earliest collected samples containing metonitazene were from February 2021. As of December 2021, metonitazene continues to be detected and confirmed in casework. By the end

FIGURE 2. Blood confirmations of isotonitazene, brorphine, and metonitazene after successive emergence and proliferation due to individual DEA drug scheduling actions.

of December 2021, 122 postmortem bloods were reported positive for metonitazene in addition to 4 DUI cases. The temporal trend involving the shift from isotonitazene to brorphine to metonitazene is illustrated in Figure 2. In 2 postmortem cases in which metonitazene was the only toxicological finding, it was reported in blood at 5.7 and 7.0 ng/mL. Three other postmortem cases only included ethanol in addition; these blood concentrations included 0.96, 1.1, and 1.8 ng/mL.

Similar to its 2 predecessors, metonitazene has been detected in 18 US states and British Columbia. Illinois ($n = 26$), Wisconsin $(n = 22)$, and Kentucky $(n = 21)$ accounted for the highest number of cases, followed by Tennessee ($n = 17$), Iowa ($n = 13$), and Minnesota ($n = 8$).

AP Series

To date, 5 cases involving cinnamylpiperazines have been reported. AP-238 was confirmed in 2 postmortem cases from Illinois and Florida; one case was collected in January 2021 and the other was collected in February 2021. 2-Methyl AP-237 was confirmed in a case from South Carolina from March 2021, a second case from Wisconsin collected in April 2021, and a third postmortem case from Pennsylvania collected in November 2021.

Additional Nitazene Analogs

N-pyrrolidino etonitazene has primarily been reported in cases originating from West Virginia ($n = 5$), British Columbia $(n = 3)$, Florida $(n = 2)$, and one each from New Jersey, Kentucky, and Minnesota. The first reported case was originally collected in January 2021; 6 cases were collected in September, illustrating the increase in prevalence over time. Protonitazene was first reported in a case from Texas collected in April 2021, followed by cases from Iowa, New Jersey, Louisiana, Missouri, and British Columbia. Etodesnitazene was first reported in a case from Iowa collected in May 2021, with subsequent cases reported from Louisiana, West Virginia, and Iowa $(n = 1)$. The singular case from Louisiana contained both protonitazene and etodesnitazene.

DISCUSSION

The emergence, proliferation, and positivity of a new synthetic drug is greatly influenced by a number of factors, including temporal changes in response to drug scheduling actions, market forces, geographical trends, and varying surveillance capabilities. Unabated by scheduling of fentanyl-related substances, nextgeneration NSOs continue to appear on the drug market and have been confirmed to be involved in multiple drug overdoses and deaths.

Isotonitazene was the first nitazene analog to emerge and its lifespan has since been characterized, in addition to its successor brorphine. The total lifespan of both of these substances was roughly 12 months, with a peak range of positivity for only approximately 6 months.¹²³ Metonitazene is still experiencing sustained positivity as of December 2021, so its longevity cannot be truly assessed. Metonitazene was recently listed under DEA intent for scheduling 7 different nitazene compounds.¹²⁰ The lifespan of metonitazene is hypothesized to follow that of isotonitazene and brorphine once it is controlled but is it too soon to tell. Npyrrolidino etonitazene has experienced increased positivity over the last quarter of 2021 but falls under the recent scheduling measure. Other encountered nitazene analogs and other subclasses of NSOs are experiencing scattered positivity, and as such, we continue to monitor these substances. Based on prior experience, it is likely that now that metonitazene is scheduled, one of the other nitazene analogs with comparable potency will then increase in positivity to fill the void that metonitazene will leave behind. It is also possible that the NSO market will eventually shift to a new class of opioid agonists or a new analog from a different already known subclass. Monitoring online social media and drug use forums, such as Reddit threads, may help predict (or at least
be prepared for) the next NSO market shift.¹²⁴ It is hypothesized that the cinnamylpiperazines are not experiencing increased or sustained popularity because of their relatively lower potencies compared with other available NSOs and the consequences that could have on drug product amounts, pricing, availability, the created "high" produced by the drug, and/or user preference patterns.

Novel synthetic opioids are most commonly encountered as contaminants or concomitants in the fentanyl/heroin opioid supply but may also be found by themselves. Common drug preparations include pills (eg, counterfeit oxycodone), powders (eg, heroin, pure drug material), nasal sprays, etc. Novel synthetic opioids can be purchased through the Internet, which can lead to introduction of the drugs into the larger supply or purchasing for personal use. When NSOs are reported in toxicology samples along with fentanyl and/or heroin, it is often assumed that the NSO is mixed with the dominant drug or contaminating the illicit opioid supply; however, one should not rule out concurrent or concomitant use in this era of polydrug use. Unknowing ingestion of NSOs certainly poses heightened risks of overdosing to end users, although naloxone has been reported to be effective for many of these newer NSOs, but potentially requiring additional doses. In the US, greatest positivity for NSOs centers around the same states, predominantly in the Great Lakes and Appalachian regions, with many of these cases also reporting additional illicit opioids. Wisconsin, Illinois, Minnesota, and Iowa accounted for 51% of cases containing NSOs. Kentucky, Tennessee, and West Virginia accounted for another 19%, followed by Georgia, Louisiana, and Florida for 11% of cases. In total, 31 different US states and 4 Canadian provinces submitted cases for analysis that confirmed positive for at least one of the included NSOs. There is certainly a regional component to NPS trends, including those observed with these novel substances, but positivity is undoubtedly underestimated due to variable scopes and testing strategies by toxicology laboratories.¹²⁵

The continued trend of polydrug use remains a challenge for medical examiners and toxicologists when attempting to assess toxicity of a new substances, especially NSOs. This challenge is in part due to a lack of scientific data involving polydrug cases and polydrug cases where complete expanded toxicology testing was conducted and all results are reported or considered. As observed in this case series, fentanyl and other opioids, methamphetamine and amphetamine, cocaine, cannabis, and benzodiazepines (both prescription and NPS) were all encountered to varying degrees in combination with NSOs. In some cases, multiple NSOs included in the scope of this work were detected, and the shift in detected combinations reflects the overall changes in positivity in response to scheduling actions. For example, isotonitazene and brorphine were found in combination in a postmortem blood collected in August 2020. One year later, a postmortem blood sample was reported to include a combination of protonitazene and N-pyrrolidino etonitazene. Only 5 cases (1.8%) who underwent comprehensive toxicology testing reported no other findings with the NSO, with an additional 5 cases (2%) reporting only ethanol in addition to the NSO. The purpose of this selected case series is to help share fatal overdose data in which an NSO was ruled or suspected to be the primary driver of toxicity. In addition, this report shares some of the first reported quantitative detections of protonitazene and etodesnitazene in toxicological casework.¹²⁶

The increasing expansion and diversity of substances in the NSO class of NPS continue to challenge toxicology laboratories and forensic scientists. Every time a new drug emerges, both screening and confirmation workflows must be updated and verified or validated. These time-consuming processes rely on the availability of certified reference material, staffing, scientist availability, and appropriate instrumentation. These are all challenges faced by large laboratories with dedicated resources, let alone hospital laboratories with a much smaller testing scope that may miss an NSO entirely in their screening, as was the situation in case 10. Analytical testing for NSOs must be highly sensitive (excluding the cinnamylpiperazines) and highly specific, because many of the reported concentrations are sub 1 ng/mL and testing is being performed on postmortem blood samples. One protonitazene case contained 1400 ng/mL and was considered an outlier compared with the lower concentrations exhibited in most cases. Furthermore, the presence of isomeric pairs (eg, isotonitazene and protonitazene, AP-238, and 2-methyl AP-237) complicates analytical testing, requiring techniques that can appropriately separate and distinguish these NSO pairs for accurate reporting.

The findings and temporal and geographic trends reported in this communication reflect only those cases submitted to this laboratory for testing and, therefore, do not reflect the overall scale of use or adverse outcomes of these drugs across the US. In addition, we encountered several cases that were positive by LC-TOF/MS screening, but at the direction of the agency submitting the case, were not forwarded for confirmation and quantitation and were, therefore, not included in this report. This is especially true in cases in which there were sufficient additional drugs present to account for a toxicological cause of death. Furthermore, confirmatory testing for cases submitted in late 2021 may not have completed toxicological testing within the reporting period, therefore potentially skewing the positivity for Q4 2021. The trends reported herein are not a full characterization of positivity in the US per substance; however, they represent the largest and most complete dataset available in the US currently. The variability in testing and resource strain faced by many medical examiner and coroner offices across the US results in an underreporting of NSOs, which will impact the numbers but should not affect the generalized trends observed.

CONCLUSIONS

Novel psychoactive substance markets have always been dynamic, with new substances constantly displacing "old" substances, and newly controlled drugs, or substances unpopular among drug users. The classwide ban on fentanyl-related substances (otherwise known as core structure scheduling) by the DEA in early 2018, as well as other international controls, seems to have been an effective strategy for decreasing prevalence of fentanyl analogs but has resulted in increased variety (and potency) among the NSO subclass.^{127,128} In all, an opportunity for proliferation of nonfentanyl-related subclasses of opioid agonists has begun and continues to be dynamic.

The shift away from fentanyl analogs toward next-generation NSOs was not necessarily unexpected by the drug-monitoring community, especially because laboratories have observed many shifts among NPS classes since the late 2000s. Improved surveillance detection capabilities, analytical workflows, and data sharing have resulted in earlier signals, detections, and reporting. Because of the collaborative efforts between drug surveillance institutions, public health agencies, toxicology laboratories, drug chemists, regulatory and law enforcement agencies, pharmacological characterization efforts, death investigators, and policy experts, the longevity of individual NSO compounds seems to be decreasing, now frequently less than a year of peak popularity; however, this decrease may make the work of these individuals more challenging as new, more potent NSOs emerge. New opioid agonists continue to pose a threat to public health and safety, and medical examiners and coroners are seeing the effects of the dangers in the form of fatal overdoses. This case series highlights the continued need for the death investigation community to be vigilant for emerging NSOs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Judith Rodriguez-Salas from the CFSRE for her assistance with data compilation. The authors also thank the following individuals and agencies for the case contributions: Dr Dennis Klein from Iowa Office of the State Medical Examiner, Dr Ponni Arunkumar from Cook County Medical Examiner, Dr Laura Knight from Washoe County Medical Examiner and Coroner, Dr Eric Jameson from McDonough County Coroner's Office, Dr Demi Garvin from Forensic Science Network, Dr J Matthew Lacy from Snohomish County Medical Examiner, Dr Anita Lal from Ontario Forensic Pathology Services, Dr Kathleen Yoder from McLean County Coroner's Office, Blossom Pugh of Cobb County Medical Examiner's Office, Michele Baikauskas from Will County Coroner's Office, and Dr Robert Buchsbaum of the Florida 4th District Chief Medical Examiner.

REFERENCES

- 1. Pardo B. Illicit supply of fentanyl and other synthetic opioids: transitioning markets and evolving challenges. RAND Corporation. 2019 Jul. Available at: [https://www.rand.org/pubs/testimonies/CT515.](https://www.rand.org/pubs/testimonies/CT515.html) [html.](https://www.rand.org/pubs/testimonies/CT515.html) Accessed September 12, 2021.
- 2. Pardo B. Evolution of the U.S. overdose crisis: understanding Chinas role in the production and supply of synthetic opioids. RAND Corporation Santa Monica United States. 2018 Sep. Available at: [https://apps.dtic.mil/](https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/citations/AD1084766) [sti/citations/AD1084766](https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/citations/AD1084766). Accessed December 1, 2020.
- 3. Jannetto PJ, Helander A, Garg U, et al. The fentanyl epidemic and evolution of fentanyl analogs in the United States and the European Union. Clin Chem. 2019;65(2):242–253.
- 4. Jones CM, Bekheet F, Park JN, et al. The evolving overdose epidemic: synthetic opioids and rising stimulant-related harms. Epidemiol Rev. 2020;42(1):154–166.
- 5. Karila L, Marillier M, Chaumette B, et al. New synthetic opioids: part of a new addiction landscape. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2019;106:133–140.
- 6. Krug SA, Scott KS. A toxicological exploration of the opioid crisis. WIREs Forensic Sci. 2020;2(6):e1386.
- 7. Lovrecic B, Lovrecic M, Gabrovec B, et al. Non-medical use of novel synthetic opioids: a new challenge to public health. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019;16(2):177.
- 8. Lucyk SN, Nelson LS. Novel synthetic opioids: an opioid epidemic within an opioid epidemic. Ann Emerg Med. 2017;69(1):91–93.
- 9. Mars SG, Rosenblum D, Ciccarone D. Illicit fentanyls in the opioid street market: desired or imposed? Addiction. 2019;114(5):774–780.
- 10. Pardo B, Taylor J, Caulkins JP, Kilmer B, Reuter P, Stein BD. Understanding America's surge in fentanyl and other synthetic opioids. Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation; 2019. Available at: [https://www.](https://www.rand.org/pubs/research_briefs/RB10091.html) [rand.org/pubs/research_briefs/RB10091.html.](https://www.rand.org/pubs/research_briefs/RB10091.html) Accessed December 1, 2020.
- 11. Prekupec MP, Mansky PA, Baumann MH. Misuse of novel synthetic opioids: a deadly new trend. J Addict Med. 2017;11(4):256–265.
- 12. Salle S, Bodeau S, Dhersin A, et al. Novel synthetic opioids: a review of the literature. Toxicologie Analytique et Clinique. 2019;31(4):298–316.
- 13. Schueler HE. Emerging synthetic fentanyl analogs. Acad Forensic Pathol. 2017;7(1):36–40.
- 14. Suzuki J, El-Haddad S. A review: fentanyl and non-pharmaceutical fentanyls. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2017;171:107–116.
- 15. Zawilska JB. An expanding world of novel psychoactive substances: opioids. Front Psychiatry. 2017;8:110.
- 16. Zoorob M. Fentanyl shock: the changing geography of overdose in the United States. Int J Drug Policy. 2019;70:40–46.
- 17. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Understanding the epidemic. CDC's response to the opioid overdose epidemic. 2021. Available at: [https://](https://www.cdc.gov/opioids/basics/epidemic.html) [www.cdc.gov/opioids/basics/epidemic.html.](https://www.cdc.gov/opioids/basics/epidemic.html) Accessed October 3, 2021.
- 18. Armenian P, Vo KT, Barr-Walker J, et al. Fentanyl, fentanyl analogs and novel synthetic opioids: a comprehensive review. Neuropharmacology. 2018;134:121–132.
- 19. Baumann MH, Pasternak GW. Novel synthetic opioids and overdose deaths: tip of the iceberg? Neuropsychopharmacology. 2018;43(1): 216–217.
- 20. Baumann MH, Majumdar S, Le Rouzic V, et al. Pharmacological characterization of novel synthetic opioids (NSO) found in the recreational drug marketplace. Neuropharmacology. 2018;134:101–107.
- 21. Brunetti P, Pirani F, Carlier J, et al. A 2017–2019 update on acute intoxications and fatalities from illicit fentanyl and analogs. J Anal Toxicol. 2021;45(6):537–554.
- 22. Butler DC, Batalis NI. Opioid-associated deaths in South Carolina, 2013-2016: a retrospective review. Acad Forensic Pathol. 2017;7(4): 640–648.
- 23. Colon-Berezin C, Nolan ML, Blachman-Forshay J, et al. Overdose deaths involving fentanyl and fentanyl analogs—New York City, 2000–2017. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2019;68(2):37–40.
- 24. Concheiro M, Chesser R, Pardi J, et al. Postmortem toxicology of new synthetic opioids. Front Pharmacol. 2018;9.
- 25. Dai Z, Abate MA, Smith GS, et al. Fentanyl and fentanyl-analog involvement in drug-related deaths. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2019;196:1–8.
- 26. Daniulaityte R, Juhascik MP, Strayer KE, et al. Trends in fentanyl and fentanyl analogue-related overdose deaths—Montgomery County, Ohio, 2015–2017. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2019;198:116–120.
- 27. Daniulaityte R, Juhascik MP, Strayer KE, et al. Overdose deaths related to fentanyl and its analogs—Ohio, January–February 2017. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2017;66(34):904–908.
- 28. Drummer OH. Fatalities caused by novel opioids: a review. Forensic Sci Res. 2018;4(2):95–110.
- 29. Giorgetti A, Centola C, Giorgetti R. Fentanyl novel derivative-related deaths. Hum Psychopharmacol. 2017;32(3):e2605.
- 30. Hibbs J, Perper J, Winek CL. An outbreak of designer drug-related deaths in Pennsylvania. JAMA. 1991;265(8):1011–1013.
- 31. Massey J, Kilkenny M, Batdorf S, et al. Opioid overdose outbreak—West Virginia, August 2016. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2017;66(37): 975–980.
- 32. O'Donnell JK, Halpin J, Mattson C, et al. Deaths involving fentanyl, fentanyl analogs, and U-47700—10 states, July–December 2016. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2017;66:1197–1202.
- 33. Papsun D, Hawes A, Mohr ALA, et al. Case series of novel illicit opioid-related deaths. Acad Forensic Pathol. 2017;7(3):477–486.
- 34. Peterson BL, Schreiber S, Fumo N, et al. Opioid deaths in Milwaukee County, Wisconsin 2013–2017: the primacy of heroin and fentanyl. J Forensic Sci. 2019;64(1):144–148.
- 35. Pichini S, Solimini R, Berretta P, et al. Acute intoxications and fatalities from illicit fentanyl and analogues: an update. Ther Drug Monit. 2018; 40(1):38–51.
- 36. Scholl L, Seth P, Kariisa M, et al. Drug and opioid-involved overdose deaths—United States, 2013–2017. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2018;67(5152):1419–1427.
- 37. Serinelli S, White S, Arunkumar P, et al. The outbreak of fentanyl-related deaths in Cook County, Illinois, between October 2015 and December 2017: a retrospective study and a comparison with previous data. J Forensic Sci. 2019;64(6):1735–1742.
- 38. Vohra V, Hodgman M, Marraffa J, et al. Fentanyl- and fentanyl analog-related deaths across five counties in Central New York between 2013 and 2017. Clin Toxicol (Phila). 2020;58(2):112–116.
- 39. Freni F, Pezzella S, Vignali C, et al. A case report on potential postmortem redistribution of furanyl fentanyl and 4-ANPP. Forensic Sci Int. 2019; 304:109915.
- 40. Griswold MK, Chapman BP, Krotulski AJ, et al. Cryptomarket drug acquisition leading to furanyl fentanyl overdose. Forensic Toxicol. 2018; 36(2):534–536.
- 41. Gampfer TM, Wagmann L, Richter MJ, et al. Toxicokinetic studies and analytical toxicology of the new synthetic opioids cyclopentanoyl-fentanyl and tetrahydrofuranoyl-fentanyl. J Anal Toxicol. 2020;44(5):449–460.
- 42. Guerrieri D, Rapp E, Roman M, et al. Postmortem and toxicological findings in a series of furanylfentanyl-related deaths. J Anal Toxicol. 2017; 41(3):242–249.
- 43. Hendrickson RG, Akpunonu P, Hughes AR, et al. Highly potent fentanyl analogs: apnea from exposure to small quantities of ß-hydroxyfentanyl and furanylfentanyl. Clin Toxicol (Phila). 2019;57(9):813–815.
- 44. Klar SA, Brodkin E, Gibson E, et al. Furanyl-fentanyl overdose events caused by smoking contaminated crack cocaine—British Columbia, Canada, July 15–18, 2016. Health Promot Chronic Dis Prev Can. 2016; 36(9):200–201.
- 45. Krotulski AJ, Papsun DM, Friscia M, et al. Fatality following ingestion of tetrahydrofuranylfentanyl, U-49900 and methoxy-phencyclidine. J Anal Toxicol. 2018;42(3):e27–e32.
- 46. Martucci HFH, Ingle EA, Hunter MD, et al. Distribution of furanyl fentanyl and 4-ANPP in an accidental acute death: a case report. Forensic Sci Int. 2018;283:e13–e17.
- 47. Misailidi N, Papoutsis I, Nikolaou P, et al. Furanylfentanyl: another fentanyl analogue, another hazard for public health. Forensic Toxicol. 2018;36(1):1–11.
- 48. Mohr ALA, Friscia M, Papsun D, et al. Analysis of novel synthetic opioids U-47700, U-50488 and furanyl fentanyl by LC–MS/MS in postmortem casework. J Anal Toxicol. 2016;40(9):709–717.
- 49. Nash C, Butzbach D, Stockham P, et al. A fatality involving furanylfentanyl and MMMP, with presumptive identification of three MMMP metabolites in urine. J Anal Toxicol. 2019;43(4): 291–298.
- 50. Swanson DM, Hair LS, Strauch Rivers SR, et al. Fatalities involving carfentanil and furanyl fentanyl: two case reports. J Anal Toxicol. 2017;41: 498–502.
- 51. Chatterton CN, Handy RP, Shoemaker GK, et al. The distribution and redistribution of carfentanil in post mortem samples. Forensic Sci Int. 2020;309:110215.
- 52. Corsi N, Dragovic L. Fatal overdoses involving carfentanil: a case series. J Forensic Sci Med. 2019;5(3):147.
- 53. Delcher C, Wang Y, Vega RS, et al. Carfentanil outbreak—Florida, 2016–2017. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2020;69(5):125–129.
- 54. Elliott SP, Hernandez Lopez E. A series of deaths involving carfentanil in the UK and associated post-mortem blood concentrations. J Anal Toxicol. 2018;42(4):e41–e45.
- 55. Butler DC, Shanks K, Behonick GS, et al. Three cases of fatal acrylfentanyl toxicity in the United States and a review of literature. J Anal Toxicol. 2018;42(1):e6–e11.
- 56. Guerrieri D, Rapp E, Roman M, et al. Acrylfentanyl: another new psychoactive drug with fatal consequences. Forensic Sci Int. 2017;277: e21–e29.
- 57. Helander A, Bäckberg M, Signell P, et al. Intoxications involving acrylfentanyl and other novel designer fentanyls—results from the Swedish STRIDA project. Clin Toxicol (Phila). 2017;55(6): 589–599.
- 58. Fogarty MF, Papsun DM, Logan BK. Analysis of fentanyl and 18 novel fentanyl analogs and metabolites by LC–MS-MS, and report of fatalities

Downloaded from http://journals.lww.com/amjforensicmedicine by g3NG442gFkv/qRmORjVyH5IAEEnS8vbX1onRt dSEmuxgkZFSyB4soTUJDHt/EHLxC7mMg7yJ1fbmKnUR/lJi9g87Gt+TRT5POodX8zLOgVf7L+C3HC1bVhPQEo1QJkdG on 08/29 Downloaded from http://parmals.www.com/amjforensicmedisine by g3NG442gFkv/qRMRDR/VRHSIAEEnS8vbX1onRt
dSEmuxgK2FS954soTUJDHtEHLxC7m/hg7vJ1fbmKnURUMB1255
dSEmuxgK2FS954soTUJDHtEHLxC7m/hg7vJ1fbmKnURUMB12025 associated with methoxyacetylfentanyl and cyclopropylfentanyl. J Anal Toxicol. 2018;42(9):592–604.

- 59. Fogarty MF, Papsun DM, Logan BK. Analysis of cis and trans 3-methylfentanyl by liquid chromatography–high resolution mass spectrometry and findings in forensic toxicology casework. Drug Test Anal. 2018;10(9):1474–1482.
- 60. Fomin D, Baranauskaite V, Usaviciene E, et al. Human deaths from drug overdoses with carfentanyl involvement—new rising problem in forensic medicine. Medicine (Baltimore). 2018;97(48):e13449.
- 61. Evans-Brown M, Cunningham A, Gallegos A, et al. MT-45: EMCDDA-Europol Joint Report on a New Psychoactive Substance: 1-cyclohexyl-4-(1,2-diphenylethyl)piperazine ('MT-45'). Luxembourg: European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction; 2014:17. Joint Report.
- 62. Fels H, Krueger J, Sachs H, et al. Two fatalities associated with synthetic opioids: AH-7921 and MT-45. Forensic Sci Int. 2017;277:e30–e35.
- 63. Helander A, Backberg M, Beck O. MT-45, a new psychoactive substance associated with hearing loss and unconsciousness. Clin Toxicol. 2014;52: 901–904.
- 64. Papsun D, Krywanczyk A, Vose JC, et al. Analysis of MT-45, a novel synthetic opioid, in human whole blood by LC–MS-MS and its identification in a drug-related death. J Anal Toxicol. 2016;40(4): 313–317.
- 65. Uchiyama N, Matsuda S, Kawamura M, et al. Identification of two new-type designer drugs, piperazine derivative MT-45 (I-C6) and synthetic peptide Noopept (GVS-111), with synthetic cannabinoid A-834735, cathinone derivative 4-methoxy-α-PVP, and phenethylamine derivative 4-methylbuphedrine from illegal products. Forensic Toxicol. 2014;32(1):9–18.
- 66. Coppola M, Mondola R. AH-7921: a new synthetic opioid of abuse. Drug Alcohol Rev. 2015;34(1):109–110.
- 67. Baumann MH, Tocco G, Papsun DM, et al. U-47700 and its analogs: non-fentanyl synthetic opioids impacting the recreational drug market. Brain Sci. 2020;10(11):895.
- 68. UNODC Opioid Strategy. Global SMART Update: the growing complexity of the opioid crisis. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. 2020 Oct. p. 16. Report No. Vol 24. Available at: [https://www.](https://www.unodc.org/documents/scientific/Global_SMART-2020-Vol_24_web.pdf) [unodc.org/documents/scientific/Global_SMART-2020-Vol_24_web.pdf.](https://www.unodc.org/documents/scientific/Global_SMART-2020-Vol_24_web.pdf) Accessed December 6, 2020.
- 69. United Nations Economic and Social Council. Report on the sixty-first session Commission on Narcotic Drugs. 2017 Dec. Report No.: E/CN.7/ 2018/13. Available at: [https://undocs.org/pdf?symbol=en/E/2018/](https://undocs.org/pdf?symbol=en/E/2018/28#page=1&zoom=auto,-15,842) [28#page=1&zoom=auto,-15,842](https://undocs.org/pdf?symbol=en/E/2018/28#page=1&zoom=auto,-15,842). Accessed September 30, 2021.
- 70. Drug Enforcement Administration. Schedules of controlled substances: temporary placement of fentanyl-related substances in schedule I. Temporary amendment; temporary scheduling order. Fed Regist. 2018;83 (25):5188–5192.
- 71. Rayasam R. This is Crack 2.0. Politico. Available at: [https://www.politico.](https://www.politico.com/news/magazine/2022/03/13/fentanyl-analogues-drug-war-00016805) [com/news/magazine/2022/03/13/fentanyl-analogues-drug-war-](https://www.politico.com/news/magazine/2022/03/13/fentanyl-analogues-drug-war-00016805)[00016805.](https://www.politico.com/news/magazine/2022/03/13/fentanyl-analogues-drug-war-00016805) Accessed March 20, 2022.
- 72. Rosen LW, Lawrence SV. China primer: illicit fentanyl and China's role. Available at: [https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/IF/IF10890.](https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/IF/IF10890) Accessed March 1, 2021.
- 73. Frank RG, Pollack HA. Addressing the fentanyl threat to public health. N Engl J Med. 2017;376(7):605–607.
- 74. Sharma KK, Hales TG, Rao VJ, et al. The search for the "next" euphoric non-fentanil novel synthetic opioids on the illicit drugs market: current status and horizon scanning. Forensic Toxicol. 2019;37(1):1–16.
- 75. Cannaert A, Hulpia F, Risseeuw M, et al. Report on a new opioid NPS: chemical and in vitro functional characterization of a structural isomer of the MT-45 derivative diphenpipenol. J Anal Toxicol. 2021;45(2): 134–140.
- 76. Krotulski AJ, Fogarty MF, Logan BK. Piperidylthiambutene. NMS Labs. 2019 Sep. Available at: [https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/](https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/Piperidylthiambutene_091819_NMSLabs_Report.pdf) [uploads/2019/09/Piperidylthiambutene_091819_NMSLabs_Report.pdf](https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/Piperidylthiambutene_091819_NMSLabs_Report.pdf). Accessed February 13, 2021.
- 77. Krotulski AJ, Fogarty MF, Logan BK. 2F-Viminol. NMS Labs. 2019 Dec. Available at: [https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/](https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/2F-Viminol_120419_NMSLabs_Report.pdf) [2019/12/2F-Viminol_120419_NMSLabs_Report.pdf.](https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/2F-Viminol_120419_NMSLabs_Report.pdf) Accessed February 13, 2021.
- 78. Carroll FI, Coleman MC. Etonitazene. An improved synthesis. J Med Chem. 1975;18(3):318–320.
- 79. Carroll FI, Lewin AH, Mascarella SW, et al. Designer drugs: a medicinal chemistry perspective (II). Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2012;1248:18-38.
- 80. Moolten MS, Fishman JB, Chen JC, et al. Etonitazene: an opioid selective for the mu receptor types. Life Sci. 1993;52(18):PL199–PL203.
- 81. Lutz P. Benzimidazole and its derivatives–from fungicides to designer drugs. A new occupational and environmental hazards [in Polish]. Med Pr. 2012;63(4):505–513.
- 82. Hunger A, Kebrle J, Rossi A, et al. Synthese basisch substituierter, analgetisch wirksamer benzimidazol-derivate. Experientia. 1957;13(10): 400–401.
- 83. Blanckaert P, Cannaert A, Van Uytfanghe K, et al. Report on a novel emerging class of highly potent benzimidazole NPS opioids: chemical and in vitro functional characterization of isotonitazene. Drug Test Anal. 2020; 12(4):422–430.
- 84. Mueller F, Bogdal C, Pfeiffer B, et al. Isotonitazene: fatal intoxication in three cases involving this unreported novel psychoactive substance in Switzerland. Forensic Sci Int. 2021;320:110686.
- 85. European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction. EMCDDA initial report on the new psychoactive substance N,N-diethyl-2-[[4- (1- methylethoxy)phenyl]methyl]-5-nitro-1H-benzimidazole-1 ethanamine (isotonitazene). Luxembourg: Publications Office of European Union; 2020. Available at: [https://www.emcdda.europa.eu/system/files/](https://www.emcdda.europa.eu/system/files/publications/13028/EMCDDA-Initial-report_Isotonitazene.pdf) [publications/13028/EMCDDA-Initial-report_Isotonitazene.pdf](https://www.emcdda.europa.eu/system/files/publications/13028/EMCDDA-Initial-report_Isotonitazene.pdf). Accessed March 1, 2021.
- 86. Zagorski CM, Myslinski JM, Hill LG. Isotonitazene as a contaminant of concern in the illegal opioid supply: a practical synthesis and cost perspective. Int J Drug Policy. 2020;86:102939.
- 87. De Baerdemaeker KSC, Dines AM, Hudson S, et al. Isotonitazene, a novel psychoactive substance opioid, detected in two cases following a local surge in opioid overdoses. QJM. 2022;hcac039.
- 88. Jangrid C. Isotonitazene—a deadly new trend in the psychoactive substance abuse. Int J Forensic Res Crim Justice. 2021;1(1):12–14.
- 89. Krotulski AJ, Papsun DM, Fogarty MF, Nelson L, Logan BK. Public health alert: isotonitazene. NPS Discovery. 2019 Nov. Available at: [https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/Public-](https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/Public-Alert_Isotonitazene_NPS-Discovery_111919-1.pdf)[Alert_Isotonitazene_NPS-Discovery_111919-1.pdf](https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/Public-Alert_Isotonitazene_NPS-Discovery_111919-1.pdf). Accessed January 10, 2021.
- 90. Krotulski AJ, Papsun DM, Kacinko SL, et al. Isotonitazene quantitation and metabolite discovery in authentic forensic casework. J Anal Toxicol. 2020;44(6):521–530.
- 91. Shover CL, Falasinnu TO, Freedman RB, et al. Emerging characteristics of isotonitazene-involved overdose deaths: a case-control study. J Addict Med. 2021;15(5):429–431.
- 92. Drug Enforcement Administration. Temporary placement of isotonitazene in schedule I. Fed Regist. 2020;85(118):36819–36823.
- 93. Krotulski AJ, Shuda S, Fogarty MF, Decker S. Metonitazene. NMS Labs. 2020 July. Available at: [https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/](https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Metonitazene_073020_NMSLabs_Report.pdf) [uploads/2020/07/Metonitazene_073020_NMSLabs_Report.pdf](https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Metonitazene_073020_NMSLabs_Report.pdf). Accessed February 13, 2021.
- 94. Blanckaert P, Balcaen M, Vanhee C, et al. Analytical characterization of "etonitazepyne," a new pyrrolidinyl-containing 2-benzylbenzimidazole opioid sold online. Drug Test Anal. 2021;13(9):1627–1634.
- Downloaded from http://journals.lww.com/amjforensicmedicine by g3NG442gFkv/qRmORjVyH5IAEEnS8vbX1onRt dSEmuxgkZFSyB4soTUJDHt/EHLxC7mMg7yJ1fbmKnUR/lJi9g87Gt+TRT5POodX8zLOgVf7L+C3HC1bVhPQEo1QJkdG on 08/29 Downloaded from http://parmals.www.com/amforensiomedisine by g3NG442gFkv/qRmCR{VyJt5lAEEnS8vbX1onRt
dSEmusgKZFS/B4soTUJDHKEHLxC7mMg7yJ1fbmKnURUJB3051FTRT5POddX8zLOgV7ZL+C3HC1bVnPQEo1QJkd80
dSEmusgKZFS/B4soTUJDHKEHLxC7mMg7y
- 95. Krotulski AJ, Walton SE, Papsun DM, Fogarty MF, Logan BK. N-Pyrrolidino-Etonitazene_051321_Toxicology Analytical Report. 2021. Available at: [https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/](https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/N-Pyrrolidino-Etonitazene_051321_ToxicologyAnalyticalReport.pdf) [N-Pyrrolidino-Etonitazene_051321_ToxicologyAnalyticalReport.pdf](https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/N-Pyrrolidino-Etonitazene_051321_ToxicologyAnalyticalReport.pdf). Accessed September 26, 2021.
- 96. Krotulski AJ, Papsun DM, Walton SE, Logan BK. Public-Alert_N-Pyrrolidino-Etonitazene_NPS-Discovery_061721.pdf. 2021. Available at: [https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Public-](https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Public-Alert_N-Pyrrolidino-Etonitazene_NPS-Discovery_061721.pdf)[Alert_N-Pyrrolidino-Etonitazene_NPS-Discovery_061721.pdf.](https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Public-Alert_N-Pyrrolidino-Etonitazene_NPS-Discovery_061721.pdf) Accessed September 26, 2021.
- 97. Shultz J, Raje S, Short S, et al. Etodesnitazene_022321_ ToxicologyAnalyticalReport. NPS Discovery at CFSRE. 2021. (Monographs).
- 98. Krotulski AJ, Walton SE, Papsun DM, Fogarty MF, Logan BK. Protonitazene_052621_Toxicology Analytical Report. 2021. Available at: [https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/](https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/Protonitazene_052621_ToxicologyAnalyticalReport.pdf) [Protonitazene_052621_ToxicologyAnalyticalReport.pdf](https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/Protonitazene_052621_ToxicologyAnalyticalReport.pdf). Accessed September 26, 2021.
- 99. Krotulski A, Juhascik MP, Harshbarger KE, et al. Metodesnitazene_ 092221_Toxicology Analytical Report. 2021. Available at: [https://www.](https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Metodesnitazene_092221_ToxicologyAnalyticalReport.pdf) [npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Metodesnitazene_](https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Metodesnitazene_092221_ToxicologyAnalyticalReport.pdf) [092221_ToxicologyAnalyticalReport.pdf.](https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Metodesnitazene_092221_ToxicologyAnalyticalReport.pdf) Accessed September 26, 2021.
- 100. Ujváry I, Christie R, Evans-Brown M, et al. DARK classics in chemical neuroscience: etonitazene and related benzimidazoles. ACS Chem Neurosci. 2021;12(7):1072–1092.
- 101. Vandeputte MM, Van Uytfanghe K, Layle NK, et al. Synthesis, chemical characterization, and μ-opioid receptor activity assessment of the emerging group of "nitazene" 2-benzylbenzimidazole synthetic opioids. ACS Chem Neurosci. 2021;12(7):1241–1251.
- 102. Krotulski AJ, Papsun DM, Noble C, Kacinko SL, Nelson L, Logan BK. Public health alert: brorphine. NPS Discovery. 2020 Jul. Available at: [https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Public-Alert_](https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Public-Alert_Brorphine_NPS-Discovery_072720.pdf) [Brorphine_NPS-Discovery_072720.pdf](https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Public-Alert_Brorphine_NPS-Discovery_072720.pdf). Accessed January 10, 2021.
- 103. Verougstraete N, Vandeputte MM, Lyphout C, et al. First report on brorphine: the next opioid on the deadly new psychoactive substance horizon? J Anal Toxicol. 2021;44(9):937–946.
- 104. Adamson DW, Green AF. A new series of analgesics. Nature. 1950; 165(4186):122.
- 105. Kennedy NM, Schmid CL, Ross NC, et al. Optimization of a series of mu opioid receptor (MOR) agonists with high G protein signaling bias. J Med Chem. 2018;61(19):8895–8907.
- 106. Drug Enforcement Administration. Temporary placement of brorphine in schedule I. Fed Regist. 2020;85(233):78047–78050.
- 107. Krotulski AJ, Papsun DM, Noble C, et al. Brorphine-investigation and quantitation of a new potent synthetic opioid in forensic toxicology casework using liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry. J Forensic Sci. 2021;66(2):664-676.
- 108. Vohra V, King AM, Jacobs E, et al. Death associated with brorphine, an emerging novel synthetic opioid. Clin Toxicol (Phila). 2021;59: 851–852.
- 109. Krotulski AJ, Papsun DM, Walton SE, et al. Metonitazene in the United States-forensic toxicology assessment of a potent new synthetic opioid using liquid chromatography mass spectrometry. Drug Test Anal. 2021; 13:1697–1711.
- 110. Krotulski AJ, Papsun DM, Walton SE, Logan BK. Public Health Alert: Metonitazene. NPS Discovery at CFSRE. 2021 Jan. Available at: [https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/Public-](https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/Public-Alert_Metonitazene_NPS-Discovery_012521.pdf)[Alert_Metonitazene_NPS-Discovery_012521.pdf](https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/Public-Alert_Metonitazene_NPS-Discovery_012521.pdf). Accessed September 30, 2021.
- 111. Irikura T, Nishino K, Ito N, et al. Studies on analgesic agents. VI. Analgesic effect of 1-butyryl-4-cinnamylpiperazine hydrochloride. Jpn J Pharmacol. 1970;20(2):287–293.
- 112. Yu S-Y, Wang J-J, Huang Y-G, et al. Managing pain in patients with cancer: the Chinese good pain management experience. J Glob Oncol. 2017;3(5):583–595.
- 113. Furlan D. Methyl-piperazino derivatives with analgesic activity. US4562191A, 1985. Available at: [https://patents.google.com/patent/](https://patents.google.com/patent/US4562191A/en) [US4562191A/en](https://patents.google.com/patent/US4562191A/en). Accessed February 1, 2021.
- 114. Vandeputte MM, Cannaert A, Stove CP. In vitro functional characterization of a panel of non-fentanyl opioid new psychoactive substances. Arch Toxicol. 2020;94(11):3819–3830.
- 115. Fogarty MF, Vandeputte MM, Krotulski AJ, et al. Toxicological and pharmacological characterization of novel cinnamylpiperazine synthetic opioids in humans and in vitro including 2-methyl AP-237 and AP-238. Arch Toxicol. 2022;96:1701–1710.
- 116. Evans-Brown M, Ujváry I, Morais JD, et al. EMCDDA technical report on the new psychoactive substance N,N-diethyl-2-[[4-(1-methylethoxy) phenyl]methyl]-5-nitro-1H-benzimidazole-1-ethanamine (isotonitazene). Luxembourg: European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction; 2019:40.
- 117. Hasegawa K, Minakata K, Suzuki M, et al. The standard addition method and its validation in forensic toxicology. Forensic Toxicol. 2021;39(2): 311–333.
- 118. Krotulski AJ, Papsun DM, Homan J, Nelson LS, Logan BK. Flualprazolam: potent benzodiazepine identified among death and impaired driving cases in the U.S. 2019 Dec. Available at: [https://www.](https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/Public-Alert_Flualprazolam_NPS-Discovery_120519.pdf) [npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/Public-Alert_](https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/Public-Alert_Flualprazolam_NPS-Discovery_120519.pdf) [Flualprazolam_NPS-Discovery_120519.pdf](https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/Public-Alert_Flualprazolam_NPS-Discovery_120519.pdf). Accessed March 7, 2021.
- 119. Krotulski AJ, Papsun DM, Chronister CW, et al. Eutylone intoxications an emerging synthetic stimulant in forensic investigations. J Anal Toxicol. 2021;45(1):8–20.
- 120. Reiter B, Stimpfl T. Quantification of drugs in brain samples. J Anal Toxicol. 2015;39(9):702–706.
- 121. Vandeputte MM, Krotulski AJ, Walther D, et al. Pharmacological evaluation and forensic case series of N-pyrrolidino etonitazene (etonitazepyne), a newly emerging 2-benzylbenzimidazole 'nitazene' synthetic opioid. Arch Toxicol. 2022;96:1845–1863.
- 122. Dolinak D. Opioid toxicity. Acad Forensic Pathol. 2017;7(1):19–35.
- 123. Vandeputte MM, Krotulski AJ, Papsun DM, et al. The rise and fall of Isotonitazene and brorphine: two recent stars in the synthetic opioid firmament. J Anal Toxicol. 2021;bkab082.
- 124. Barenholtz E, Krotulski AJ, Morris P, et al. Online surveillance of novel psychoactive substances (NPS): monitoring Reddit discussions as a predictor of increased NPS-related exposures. Int J Drug Policy. 2021; 98:103393.
- 125. Trecki J, Gerona RR, Ellison R, et al. Notes from the field: increased incidence of fentanyl-related deaths involving para-fluorofentanyl or metonitazene—Knox County, Tennessee, November 2020–August 2021. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2022;71(4):153–155.
- 126. Pucci M, Hudson S, Hill SL, et al. Severe toxicity involving N-pyrrolidino etonitazene in the United Kingdom—a case report. Clin Toxicol (Phila). 2021;60(4):533–534.
- 127. Weedn VW, Elizabeth Zaney M, McCord B, et al. Fentanyl-related substance scheduling as an effective drug control strategy. J Forensic Sci. 2021;66(4):1186–1200.
- 128. Morrow JB, Ropero-Miller JD, Catlin ML, et al. The opioid epidemic: moving toward an integrated, holistic analytical response. J Anal Toxicol. 2019;43(1):1–9.